G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19
(Gen: Fin.)

File No. 226-02

Serial Nos. 1-6

Papers Filed.

225

Month——

SUBJECT.

Refund bill for Rs 21-4-0 in favor of the District Treasury Officer, Sheopur

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

~~Rep~~
Correction of double
credit.

I do not quite see
from the record ~~the~~
how it is made
~~of that the~~ mon
was not paid by
the party twice; or
how exactly the mis
take occurred.

7/4/902

Ans
7/6/02

* Informed by
the Secretary -
A mistake was
committed in
the District Treas?
Money was not
paid twice -
Please see the Dy
Com's no 1044 &
880

21st May 1902 –
The Draft already
submitted is
pending approval

12/6/02

Please issue the draft.

26/6/02

Issued
25/6/02

Office note –

The Comptroller was
asked in Govt order No. 2
2044-5 & 26-6-02 to state
how the error was not
detected and pointed
out by the Dist. Ly Officer
& how the Cash Accounts
was found correct.

In reply the Compt.
states that he took
objection to the excess
debit and directed its
adjustment (Vide copy of
objection Statement put up)

and that the transaction did not affect the Cash Balance as there was a debit and an equal credit on both sides of the account.

Subd Mr Secretary
A.N. 5/9/02

May be recorded with the observation that the necessary adjustment has been made.

A
18/9/02

Yes Sir
24/9
Draft
11/9/02

H 1.

No. 1891. 02.

From K. C. Cuttamma Chetty Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. Bar. at L.
Secty: to Govt of Mysore,
Rd and Rev. Dept.

Dated 22nd February 02.

Despatched

Received

General No. 797
No. 675

Enclosure No. 1 & V. P.

Submits for sanction and transmission to the Comptroller for audit a refund bill for Rs. 21.6- in favour of Distrct Treasury Officer, Shimoga.

1C

2 2. 02

4/3/02
Read
6/3

No: 797 Ent & V.P.
676

Deputy Commrs. Office
Shimoga District,
22nd February 190

From

K. C. Suttanna chetty Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M. A.
M. L.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Rev: Departments

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith for favour of sanction and transmission to the Comptroller for audit, a refund bill for Rs 21.4-0 in favour of the District Treasury officer, Shimoga.

2. The amount was credited at the Nonnali Taluk Treasury by one Hirchm Sab, Amaldr contractor

contractor of Chamrajnagar Taluk, for transfer remittance to the latter Taluk. Cheque No. 35 dated 10th December 1900, was issued from the District Treasury on that Taluk, and the amount was duly credited under Tree-Tax. Subsequently by an oversight, the District Treasury issued Cheques Nos 58 and 59 dated 19th March 1901, for the same amount, which was also credited in the Chamrajnagar Taluk Treasury. The amount which was credited 2nd time is in excess and has to be refunded.

3. The kannada correspondence bearing on the subject ending with the Shimoga District Treasury Officer's No 2402, dated 15th instant, is herewith forwarded for

for reference and kind
return.

I have the honour, to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

Draft send for appl

To, Sl No 2 4

The deputy Commr
Shimoga District

Dated 22 April 02
No FL 1616 Estt & P.

Adverting to his
letter no 797/675 dated the
22nd Feb 02, submit-
ting for sanction a
refund bill for Rs 21-4-
in favour of the Treasy
officer, Shimoga,
has the honour to
observe that it is not
quite clear from the
record how it was
made out that the money
was ~~received and~~ not paid
twice by the party re-
ferred to, or how ex-
-actly the mistake
occurred and ~~to~~ requested
I trust

final detailed
information report on these
points may be furnished expedited
as early as possible.

2. The enclosures of the
letter under reply
are herewith returned
for reference
and resubmission.

B. O.

20/4/02

for Secy.

Ma
14/4/02.

A. Shastri -
The case / file is of 7-4-02 -
You draft it on 14-4-02.
8 days for this simple thing
If you had marked on this
as "Draft" as per orders "
I should have attended to
it much earlier - I am
not satisfied with your
delay and want a
Care & method

20/4/02

7C 1526
92

1901-02

From V. P. Pattanashetty Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To A. V. Nanjundayya Esq.
M. A. M. L.
Secretary to Govt
Genl & Rev Depts

Dated 21st

Despatched May 1902

Received 6/6/02

General No. 1044
No. 880

Enclosure No. 1 + Cancelled

With reference to his No. RL 1616 dated 22 April 1902 forwards further information in the refund bill for Rs 21-4 in favour of the Treasury Officer, Shimoga.

Submitted -

23.5.02

27.5.02

Accts 24/5

27.5.02

27/5/02

61

No. 1044/880 Gen/Confile 8/1.03

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga District
Shimoga 21st May 1902.

From K. P. Ponnamma Chetty Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To F. V. Nanjundayya Esq. M. A. M. L.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. R 1616 dated 22nd April 1902 calling for further particulars regarding the refund bill for Rs 21-4-0 in favour of the District Treasury officer, Shimoga, I have the honour to state that the Treasury officer in his yadi No. 2402 dated 18th February 1902 states that as the date of the issue of the original cheque was omitted to be entered in the Register kept for the purpose, a cheque was again issued for the same amount under the impression that none had been sent

before. Subsequently the District Treas.
found out the mistake and wrote to the
Taluk to return the cheque issued for
the second time; but was informed
that it had been cashed and the amou
credited. It is clear that the money
was not paid twice by the party, but
there was double credit for one and
the same amount.

2. The enclosures received on
the above are herewith resubmitted

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Serva

W. Nettermyer
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

JFW

Sl No 1 Draft for C.

Read,

Letter No 797, date
the 22nd February 02,
from the Deputy Co
missioner, Shimoga
Disposed.
Letter No 1024 d: 21st May 1902 from
the same Officer on the same subject
Order thereon.

~~In the above~~
~~letter, the Deputy Comm~~
~~states that one~~ Head
Sub, Amrack Contract
Chennagiri Tk, paid
Rs 21-4-0 at the Hon-
nali Taluk and requ
ed its remittance to
the Chennagiri Tk.
~~for being credited~~
~~in the Treasury there~~
~~of to his account~~
~~and under~~

Ew. V.P
No 2044-5 d 26/6/02
V.

~~which time that~~ Accordingly, a cheque no 5 dated the 10th December was issued from the Treasury on the Chennai Bank and the amount was duly credited. ~~in the Treasury account, but that~~ subsequently, by an ~~oversight~~ the District Treasury again issued cheques nos 584 & 59 dt 19-3-01 for the same amount ~~at this~~ which was also credited on the Chennai Bank Treasury.

~~The amount which was credited a 2nd time in the Treasury~~ ~~may in excess~~ the

(Submits for sanction

Deputy Commr requests
~~to be accorded leave~~ to
~~be accorded for~~ a bill for the
refund of the ~~same~~.
Treasury Credit.

~~Under the circumstances explained, the refund of the sum of Rs 21-4-0 is sanctioned and the bill received therefor is transmitted to the Comptroller for audit.~~

2.X

Asst Secy 23/4/02

To,
The Dy. Commr,
Shimoga District
returning Vouchers
Papers.

" The Comptroller
to the Govt of my side
with the bill.

The Govt observe that the
error Committed in
the District Treasury
bears very ordinary Care.
& Calls for serious
notice. The Comptr is
requested to state how
the error was not
detected & provided
against by the District Treasury
Officer & whether his
Cash account was
found correct.

~~The Deputy Commr will see that such mistakes do not occur in future.~~

The Case is ~~not~~
one Calling for
no actual refund ~~actually~~
every ^ Correction of
a mistake Committed

27/3/02

gwk

AUG 2 1903

7L 202

DEPARTMENT

SL 189

From

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General & Dept.

Dated 28th July 1902.

Despatched 31/7

Received

No. 617 SL

No. 47

SUBJECT MATTER.

With reference to Govt. Pro. No. FL 2044 S of 26th June 1902 re. observations made by the Government regarding the error committed in Hemaga Dt. Dry. in twice crediting a sum of Rs. 21-4-0 paid by one Venkatasab of Honnagiri Channagiri Talk stating that the Deputy Commr. applies to your office on the objection taken by this office vide objection Book submitted and that he has failed in his simple & essential duty of seeing whether there was a corresponding Credit in the Local Remittances received every month & his certificate that the Cash balance was not affected as there was a debit and equal credit on both sides of the account.

Rest

Report submitted

Mysore 1st Aug 1903 FL 31/8

617 E1

SPECIAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

Bangalore, 28th July 1902. 189

39

From

E R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,B.A.B.C.E.

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To H.V.Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A.M.L.
~~The~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*

General & Revenue *Depts*.

Sir,

With reference to Govt.Pro.No.FL2044-5 dated 26th Ju 1902 observing the error committed in the District Treasury Shimoga, in twice crediting the sum of Rs.21-4-0 paid by one Huchu Sab Arrack Contractor of Chennegere Taluk, and enquiring how the error was not detected by this Office and pointed out to the District Treasury Officer and how the Cash Account was found correct, I have the honor to state that the sum of Rs.21 paid into the Honnalli Taluk by Huchu Sab in two equal instalments Viz.Rs.10-10-0 each on the 4th October and 5th November 1900 r -pectively for Remittance to Chennegere Taluk was credited unc "No.5 Local Remittances "in the Treasury Accounts of these mor These two items were debited in the Treasury Account for Decer ber 1900 under "No.5 Local Remittances" and credited under "Excise".

Again Taluk cheques for the same amounts were issue and the amounts thereof were debited in the Treasury Account for March 1901 under "No.5 Local Remittances" and credited un "Excise".

This Office in checking the Statement of Remitta paid in March 1901 found that Rs.21-4-0 were debited with corresponding credit under the head "Remittances" and took

on receipt
took objection to the excess debit and directed its adjustment
Vide extract from this office objection statement herewith sub-
mitted. It is apparently upon this objection the Deputy Commissio
-er has applied to your office for sanction to refund the amount
though he makes no mention of it in his letter quoted in the
Government order.

The transaction in question did not affect the Cash Balance as there was a debit and an equal credit on both sides of the account. I must however add that in connexion with the 2nd transaction the Treasury Officer has evidently failed to make the simple and essential enquiry before he issued the 2nd set of cheques Viz.whether there was a corresponding credit under "Local Remittances No.5" remaining unadjusted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

Statement showing the result o
Examination of detailed statement of
~~audited~~ debited under entry No. 5 Local F
tances during the month of Jan

No. 344 date 7th Oct

Forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Treasury Department, for attention and favor of early return with replies.

(Sgd) E. R. Sub
Comptroller.

No. 420 date 7th Dec,

Returned to the Comptroller, after ending to the needful.

Sgd) B. Ramakrishn
Dy. Commr., Try. Dept.,
Shimoga District.

No: date

Forwarded again for attention to the rther remarks made and very early re-bmission.

Comptroller.

Returned with the needful attended to

Dy. Commr., Try. Dept.,
District.

Statement showing the result of the examinati
Remittances, in the Treasury accounts of the Sh

On what account	Remarks of t
y Inc. Tax Do.	Paid in Dec Do It is th questied excess pay now be r at once a to No 5, 2 ances an intimat office at date. (Sgd) E. R. Comp

Inc T
L. Kirkto
Acc

etailed statement of sums ~~Credited~~ Debited under entry No. 5,
g.a. District for the month of ~~189~~ March 1901

troller	Replies of the Deputy Commissioner, Try. Dept.	How disposed of.
id again on March 01 Do. ore re- t these ts may justed credited l Remit- the fact to this early	This wrong entry has been pointed out to the Amildar who has been directed to take steps for its adjustment by obtaining proper sanction	
roger	(Sgd) B. Ramakrishna Rao	
ller	For Dy Commr Treasury Departt Shimoga	

act.
Amildar
Probation

Draft
Bro's of the Govt of Mysore
Sl No 6. 11.

Read again -

Govt Bro's No H 2044-5 noticing dated 26th June 1902 ~~observing~~ the error committed in the Dist Treasury, Shimoga, in respect of ~~their crediting~~ the sum of Rs 21-4-0 paid by one Amelia Sab Arrack Contractor of Chennagiri Taluk ~~and acquiring how the Comptr, Mysore Govt, did not detect the error and how the Cash account was found correct~~

= Honnali
& Nos?

Read -

Letter No 617 dated 2 July 1902 from the Comp Mysore Govt & plaining the sum was paid into the Honnalli Taluk in two equal instalments in Oct and Nov 1900 for remittance to Chennagiri Taluk, that the instalments were credited under "No 6 Local Remittances" for those months and debited in the Tr & account for Dec 1900 under "No 6 - Local Remittances" & credited under

Excise - Again Jabez R. Cheques for the same amts were issued & the amounts thereof debited in the J-27 account for March 1901 under "No 5 - Local Remittc" and credited under Excise & [illegible] there was no corresponding credit under Remittances objection was taken to the Excess debit and its adjustment directed. The Comptroller states that the transaction did not affect the Cash Balance as there was an equal credit and debit on both sides of the account -

---

der thereon -

Recorded: ~~with the~~ It is believed ~~herewith~~ that the necessary adjustment has been made as directed by the Comptr

M 26/9/02

for Secretary

The Comptroller, Treasury Dept
W. D. C. Sir, I have the honor to be
M 25/9

in the "Local Remittances" in the Dis H.. for March 1901.

No 7L 560-1927/9/02

27